Astronomy & Astrophysics manuscript no. marboeuf_planet
July 29, 2014
©ESO 2014

From planetesimals to planets: volatile molecules

Ulysse Marboeuf[1], Amaury Thiabaud[1], Yann Alibert[1,2], Nahuel Cabral[1], & Willy Benz[1]

[1]Physics Institute and Center for Space and Hability, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
[2] Observatoire de Besançon, France
e-mail: ulysse.marboeuf@space.unibe.ch

Received ??; accepted ??

ABSTRACT

Context. Solar and extrasolar planets are the subject of numerous studies aiming to determine their chemical composition and internal structure. In the case of extrasolar planets, the composition is important as it partly governs their potential habitability. Moreover, observational determination of chemical composition of planetary atmospheres are becoming available, especially for transiting planets.
Aims. The present works aims at determining the chemical composition of planets formed in stellar systems of solar chemical composition. The main objective of this work is to provide valuable theoretical data for models of planet formation and evolution, and future interpretation of chemical composition of solar and extrasolar planets.
Methods. We have developed a model that computes the composition of ices in planets in different stellar systems with the use of models of ice and planetary formation.
Results. We provide the chemical composition, ice/rock mass ratio and C:O molar ratio for planets in stellar systems of solar chemical composition. From an initial homogeneous composition of the nebula, we produce a wide variety of planetary chemical compositions as a function of the mass of the disk and distance to the star. The volatile species incorporated in planets are mainly composed of H_2O, CO, CO_2, CH_3OH, and NH_3. Icy or ocean planets have systematically higher values of molecular abundances compared to giant and rocky planets. Gas giant planets are depleted in highly volatile molecules such as CH_4, CO, and N_2 compared to icy or ocean planets. The ice/rock mass ratio in icy or ocean and gas giant planets is, respectively, equal at maximum to 1.01±0.33 and 0.8±0.5, and is different from the usual assumptions made in planet formation models, which suggested this ratio to be 2-3. The C:O molar ratio in the atmosphere of gas giant planets is depleted by at least 30% compared to solar value.

Key words. Planets: formation, Planets: composition

arXiv:1407.7282v1 [astro-ph.EP] 27 Jul 2014

1. Introduction

The determination of the chemical composition of planets has been the subject of numerous studies. The study of the composition of volatile molecules incorporated in planets is an important parameter in planetary internal structure and thermal evolution models of these bodies. Numerous studies focused on the internal structure and chemical composition (mainly atmospheres or ices) of solar (Gautier et al. 2001a,b; Hersant et al. 2004) and extrasolar planets (Hersant et al. 2004; Marboeuf et al. 2008; Bond et al. 2010; Mousis et al. 2010; Johnson et al. 2012). Since the discovery of the first extrasolar planet in 1995, more than 1000 have been discovered by radial velocity measurements, microlensing experiments, and photometric transit observations (Baraffe et al. 2010; Lunine 2011; Griffith et al. 2011). These exoplanets cover a wide range of masses and sizes, among which exoplanets of sub-Mercury sizes (Kepler 37c, Barclay et al. 2013) or a few tens of Jupiter masses (see Udry & Santos 2007 for a review). Some molecular species such as H_2O, CO, CO_2, and CH_4 have already been detected in the troposphere and/or stratosphere of extrasolar giant planets (Beaulieu et al. 2008, 2010; Barman 2007, 2008; Burrows et al. 2005, 2007, 2008; Charbonneau et al. 2002, 2005, 2008; Désert et al. 2009; Fortney & Marley 2007; Griffith et al. 2011; Grillmair et al. 2008; Lee et al. 2012; Madhusudhan & Seager 2009, 2010, 2011; Madhusudhan et al. 2011; Madhusudhan 2012; Snellen et al. 2008; Swain et al. 2008, 2009a,b; Tinetti et al. 2007, 2010, 2012; Waldmann et al. 2012). Some numerical studies have also been developed to theoretically predict and explain these observations (e.g., Marboeuf et al. 2008; Johnson et al. 2012) and the implication of this composition on the planetary spectra (Moses et al. 2013) that could be observed from Earth. Moreover, the Exoplanet Characterisation Observatory (EChO), will be the first dedicated mission to investigate the physics and chemistry of exoplanetary atmospheres in order to characterize the physical conditions of their formation and evolution. However, none of these studies has computed the planetary formation in a self-consistent way.

We have recently extended our planet formation model to the formation of planetary systems (see Fortier et al. 2013, Alibert et al. 2013), and our aim, in this paper and a companion one (Thiabaud et al. 2014, hereafter paper 1), is to determine the composition of planet, in a way that is self-consistent with the formation process as modeled in our approach. We have first computed the composition of planetesimals for a population of protoplanetary disk (see Marboeuf et al. submitted, hereafter paper 2), and at any distance to the central star, considering both the icy species (topic of this paper), and refractory species (see paper 1). In this paper, we combine the results of the formation model (which provides the amount of planetesimals accreted by every planet as a function of the distance to the central star) with the composition model presented in the paper 2, to derive the final composition of planets. The main objective of this work is therefore to provide valuable theoretical data for models of planet formation and evolution, and future interpretation of chemical composition of both solar and extrasolar planets.

This article is organized as follows. In a first step (Sect. 2), we present the planetary formation model. In a second step (Sect. 3), we present the physical assumption and parameters adopted. We then calculate the chemical composition of planets formed in the protoplanetary disk (Sect. 4), and discuss the results (Sect. 5). The last section (Sect. 6) is devoted to conclusions.

2. Description of the physical models used in this work

In order to determine the chemical composition of planets we consider several planetary systems assumed to emerge from a protoplantary disk whose initial density profile, mass, and lifetime is different, and follows, as closely as possible, observational characteristics (see Mordasini et al. 2009, Alibert et al. 2013). We assume, when computing the formation of planets, that planetesimals are already formed at the beginning of the planetary system formation process (initial time of the model) by a process that is presently still a matter of research. Planetesimals are believed to form from the coagulation of small grains (icy and/or rocky), whose chemical composition is itself provided by the condensation sequence of gas in the protoplanetary disks (see paper 2). This provides us with the composition of grains, which is also assumed to be identical to that of planetesimals formed at the same location in the disk. This approach is obviously simplified, since the chemical composition of planetesimals results from the condensation/clathrate sequence at the location of the grains (see paper 2), and we do not include the radial drift of small icy grains. We then use our planetary formation model (Alibert et al. 2005, Mordasini et al. 2009, Mordasini et al. 2012a,b, Fortier et al. 2013, Alibert et al. 2013) to compute the chemical composition of planets formed in the disk.

2.1. Model of dynamical planet migration and calculation of the chemical composition of planets

The initial locations of planetary embryos (ten embryos are considered in the models presented in this paper) are selected at random following a uniform distribution in log. The composition of the planetary embryos (whose mass is on the order of lunar mass - $10^{-2} M_{\oplus}$) is assumed to be the same as planetesimals condensed at its location (see paper 2). In other words, we assume that the initial planetary embryos are formed locally out of planetesimals formed at the same location.

The model of planet formation allows us to determine the formation path of the ten initial embryos in the protoplanetary disk and their growth during their migration for several disks (different initial surface densities Σ_0 (T_0, P_0, r)[1] of the disk), and for different initial distances to the star. The mass of planetesimals accreted is given by

$$\frac{dM_p(r)}{dt} = \pi R_c^2 \Sigma_p \Omega F_g \qquad (kg\ s^{-1}), \tag{1}$$

where Σ_p (g.cm^{-2}) is the surface density of solids in feeding zone, R_c (AU) the capture radius of the planetesimal, F_g the focusing factor, and Ω the Keplerian frequency

$$\Omega^2 = \frac{GM_*}{r^3} \qquad (s^{-2}) \tag{2}$$

[1] Σ_0 is the initial surface density at 5.2 AU.

with r the distance to the star, G the gravitational constant, and M_* the mass of the central star, assumed to be solar in this study.

During the migration of the planet in the protoplanetary disk, from a distance r' to a distance r from the star (see fig. 1), the variation of the mass $\Delta m_x^p(r)$ of the species x in the planet p after accretion of planetesimals is defined as

$$\Delta m_x^p(r,t) = \Gamma_x^g(r) \Delta M_p(r,t) \qquad (kg), \tag{3}$$

where $\Delta M_p(r,t)$ is the mass of planetesimals accreted by the planet p at time t and $\Gamma_x^g(r)$ the chemical abundance in planetesimals of species x.



Fig. 1. Schematic view of the migration and grow of a protoplanet by accretion of planetesimals in the protoplanetary disk between positions to the star r and r'.

At each time step, we compute the mass $M_p(r,t)$ of the planet p and the mass $m_x^p(r,t)$ of volatile molecules x in the planet p after accretion of new material $\Delta M_p(r,t)$ at the position r and time t,

$$M_p(r,t) = M_p(r',t') + \Delta M_p(r,t) \qquad (kg), \tag{4}$$

where $M_p(r')$ is the mass of the planet at the distance r' and time t' from the star before accretion of planetesimals and

$$m_x^p(r,t) = m_x^p(r',t') + \Delta m_x^p(r,t) \qquad (kg) \tag{5}$$

with $m_x^p(r',t')$ the mass of volatile molecules x in the planet p at time t' before accretion of planetesimals. At the end of the computation, the molar ratio of volatile molecule x relative to all volatile molecules $\Gamma_x^p(r)$ is then given by the relation

$$\Gamma_x^p(r) = \frac{m_x^p(r)}{\sum m_x^p(r)}. \tag{6}$$

The process of accretion of planetesimals onto embryos is assumed to retain the original mass of species initially incorporated in icy bodies. The abundance of volatile molecules initially contained in planetesimals does not undergo chemical changes during the melting, the sublimation, and break up of bodies onto planets. Moreover, the migration of planets toward the star which could induce chemical reactions due to an increase in the surface temperature and temperature-pressure of planetary atmospheres is assumed not to change the abundances of species in planets.

3. Physical assumptions and parameters adopted in models

In this paper, we focus on the same volatile components used in the study of planetesimals (see paper 2): H_2O, CO_2, CO, CH_3OH, CH_4, NH_3, N_2, and H_2S. These volatile components are the major abundant species observed in comets (Bockelée-Morvan et al. 2004; Mumma & Charnley 2011) and planets. We assume that all volatile molecules are composed of H, O, C, N, and S atoms in solar abundances (Lodders 2003). The chemical composition of planets is determined by taking into account both refractory and volatile components. Refractory elements include both minerals and refractory organics (see paper 1 for details). Based on the study on the chemical composition of planetesimals, we study several extremes cases by varying parameters based on the formation of clathrates and refractory organics before the condensation of volatile species at lower temperature, the abundance of CO (rich CO and poor CO models assuming CO:CO_2=5:1 and 1:1, respectively), and the radiation through the disk. As shown in paper 2, the formation of clathrates changes the chemical composition of planetesimals by increasing the abundance of CO, CH_4, H_2S, and N_2. The formation of refractory organics before the condensation of ices induces a lower amount of ices of several tens of % in planetesimals compared to models without organics. The abundance of CO in planetesimals decreases in parallel to that in the disk. The irradiation of the disk (hereafter irradiated models) shifts the ice line positions of all volatile species by about 0.5-1 AU farther in the disk and reduces the amount of highly volatile species incorporated in planetesimals such as CH_4, CO, and N_2, compared to non-irradiated models. As discussed in paper 2, the irradiated and non-irradiated models do not properly model the physics in the disk, but allow us to frame the thermodynamic conditions of ice formation in the cooling disk and migration of planets (see paper 1) respectively near and far away from the star. The chemical processes which could change abundances of volatile species in warm surface layers of the protoplanetary disk are not taken into account in this study. All the volatile molecules (H_2O, CO_2, CH_3OH, CH_4, NH_3, and H_2S), except CO and N_2, are easily destroyed in the gas phase of the surface layers of the disk (see Visser et al. 2011) by reactions with UV and X-ray photons from the central star as well as UV photons and cosmic rays originating from the interstellar medium. They do not keep high abundances once they are evaporated from grains (Doty et al. 2002; Nomura & Millar 2004). However, grain surface reactions in the disk will recover the loss, and the abundances of volatile molecules in ice may not be very different from those adopted in planetesimals for this study (see paper 2 for more explanation).

Finally, we analyze the chemical composition of planets formed in the different synthesis planetary systems presented in Alibert et al. (2013) using 16 different initial chemical compositions of planetesimals (see paper 2) and using different initial surface densities at 5.2 AU (varying from 3 g.cm^{-2} to about 700 g.cm^{-2}), density slopes (varying from 0.4 to 1.1), and characteristic scaling radius (varying from 14 AU to 198 AU) of the disk (see Sect. 3.3 in paper 1, and Alibert et al. 2013). The different initial physical conditions of disks induce different initial thermodynamic conditions of ice formation (see paper 2) and planetary evolution as shown in Fig. 7 of paper 2. As mentioned in Sect. 2, each simulation starts with ten planetary embryos that grow and interact within the same protoplanetary disk. The characteristics of the protoplanetary disk (namely mass, lifetime, and gas-to-solids ratio) are varied from one simulation to the other in order to explore the different possible initial conditions. Depending on the characteristics of the simulation, the composition of planetesimal will change, as well as the parts of the disk sampled by the different planets, providing a diversity of composition.

At the beginning of the simulations, the protoplanets have a lunar mass (mass of about 10^{-2} $M_\oplus$) and the chemical composition of the planetesimals formed at that location. With time, protoplanets migrate and grow (see Sect. 2.1) by accretion of planetesimals whose chemical composition is described in paper 2. As a result, they are enriched or depleted in volatile molecules compared to initial protoplanets. The mass of planetesimals accreted by a planet depends on the solid surface density and the protoplanet's efficiency in capturing solid planetesimals. Unless stated explicitly, figures described in Sect. 4 present the mass of solid components (ices, rocks, and refractory organics) M^s_{pl} in planets and do not include the mass of the gas. This means that we do not include in our model the possibility of capture of volatile species as part as the accreted gas (which is therefore assumed to contain only H and He).

4. Chemical composition of planets

4.1. Type of planets and Ice/rock mass ratio

Mass fraction of the solid component of planets Figure 2 shows the mass ratio of solid components (core of the planet) M^s_{pl} relative to the total mass of the planet (M^{all}_{pl}, including solid components and envelope) in planets as a function of their final semi-major axis a_{pl} and of the mass of the solid components M^s_{pl}[2], for non-irradiated (left panel) and irradiated (right panel) models. For both models, the population of planets are similar and can be divided into three groups. The first (hereafter group *A*) corresponds to gaseous giant planets with $M^s_{pl} \geq 20$ $M_\oplus$ for both non-irradiated and irradiated models. The mass of the gas envelope is at least 60 wt% of the total mass M^{all}_{pl} of planets (black and blue dots). The second group (gray area, hereafter group *B*) includes less massive planets, with a mass in the range of $\approx$ 1-5 $M_\oplus \leq M^s_{pl} \leq$ 10-20 $M_\oplus$ (resp. $\approx$ 5 $M_\oplus \leq M^s_{pl} \leq \approx$ 20 $M_\oplus$) for non-irradiated (resp. irradiated) models. The mass of solids M^s_{pl} included in these planets varies from about 40 wt% to about 90 wt% of the total mass M^{all}_{pl} of planets (purple and orange dots). The last group (hereafter group *C*) includes low mass planets, with $M^s_{pl} \leq$ 1-5 $M_\oplus$ (resp. $M^s_{pl} \leq$ 5-10 $M_\oplus$) for non-irradiated (resp. irradiated) models and a very small mass fraction of gas ($\leq$ 10%, yellow dots). Some planets (light gray boxes, 4 in non-irradiated and 5 in irradiated models) have total masses greater than 13 Jovian mass and could be brown dwarfs rather than gas giant planets. As can be seen in figure 2, the mass of gas increases with the mass of heavy elements, a result typical of planets formed in the core-accretion model. The major change with the irradiated models is the number of low and high mass planets. The number of low/high mass planets increases/decreases slightly compared to the non-irradiated models. As shown below (see Fig. 3), this is due to the depletion of ices in the disk and to the change of the migration pathway of planets between non-irradiated and irradiated models (see Fig. 4).

We note that the maximum mass of heavy elements in gaseous giant planets, which reaches about 500 M_{earth}, is prob-

[2] The term "solid component" does not necessarily mean that these elements remain in the solid phase (the planetary core), but only that they have been captured under the form of planetesimals. Similarly, the term "mass of gas" refers to the mass that has been accreted under the form of gas, including possible small dust.

ably favored by the hypothesis that all planetesimals have the same radius (on the order of 100 m) and this radius does not evolve with time (see Fortier et al. 2013; Alibert et al. 2013). A size distribution of planetesimals and a radius evolution would probably change the maximum mass of planets formed.

Fraction of ices relative to the total solid mass Figure 3 shows the average mass ratio of volatile molecules, relative to the total mass of heavy elements (volatile molecules, and rocks (including minerals and organics compounds), excluding the gaseous envelope) as a function of the mass of solid components M^s_{pl} and the semi-major axis a_{pl} of planets at the end of the computation, for non-irradiated and irradiated models, both with and without refractory organics, and taking into account all chemical variations ($CO:CO_2$ variation and clathrate formation). Whatever the model used, the planets are chemically divided into three parts and cover approximately the subdivisions *A*, *B*, and *C* described in Fig. 2:

• 1) The first area (hereafter area α) is composed of planets with a solid mass M^s_{pl} ranging from 0.01 $M_\oplus$ to 5 $M_\oplus$ (resp. 0.01 $M_\oplus$ to about 10 $M_\oplus$) for non-irradiated (resp. irradiated) models. These planets are mainly icy or ocean planets (hereafter icy/ocean planets) with low mass and a very small gaseous envelope (see Fig. 2). They are characterized by a final semi-major axis a_{pl} ranging from 0.4 AU to 20 AU (resp. 1 AU to 20 AU) for non-irradiated (resp. irradiated) models. We note that some icy/ocean planets are outside of the ranges described; some planets are located near the star between 0.04 AU and 0.4 AU (0.1 AU and 1 AU) for non-irradiated (resp. irradiated) models and could be ocean planets. Typically, the solid component of icy/ocean planets is composed of 31±8 wt% (resp. 50±12 wt%) of ices in non-irradiated models with (resp. without) refractory organics. Variations represent the standard deviation 2σ of values, taking into account all chemical changes (formation of clathrates, $CO:CO_2$ variation). Planets in irradiated models (without refractory organics compounds) present lower ratios with a radial gradient of the amount of ices. Average values (± 2σ) of the fraction of ices in planets are 23±10 wt% (resp. 40±14 wt%) in icy/ocean planets, with (resp. without) refractory organics. This leads to icy/ocean planets with average ice/rock mass ratio varying from 0.30±0.16 (irradiated models with refractory organics) to 1.01±0.33 (non-irradiated models without refractory organics). Such values frame the ones of some icy satellites and KBOs in the solar system: densities of Jovian icy satellites Ganymede and Callisto; Saturn's moon Titan; Neptune's moon Triton; and KBOs Quaoar, Orcus, Eris, and the Pluto-Charon binary (≈ 1.8-2.3 $g.cm^{-3}$) suggest comparable masses of rock and ices (Showman & Malhotra 1999; Buie et al. 2006; Person et al. 2006; Brown & Schaller 2007; McKinnon et al. 2008; Sotin et al. 2009; Lunine et al. 2010).

• 2) The second area (hereafter area β) contains dry terrestrial planets (little or no H_2 and He gas) with at maximum 1-2 wt% of volatile molecules (mainly H_2O) for all models. This area is largely composed of rocky planets that represent approximately 20% (resp. ≈ 40%) of all the planets for non-irradiated (resp. irradiated) models. The solid mass M^s_{pl} and positions a_{pl} of these planets vary from 0.5 $M_\oplus$ to about 2 $M_\oplus$ (resp. in the range 0.3-10 $M_\oplus$), and from 0.02 AU to 0.5 AU (resp. from 0.01 AU to 1.5 AU), for non-irradiated models (resp. irradiated models). We note that there are some rocky planets outside of this area with masses varying from 0.5 $M_\oplus$ to 5 $M_\oplus$ (resp. 15 $M_\oplus$) and positions varying from 0.05 AU to 3 AU (resp. 3 AU) for non-irradiated (resp. irradiated) models.

• 3) The third area (hereafter area ϵ) is composed of gas giant and Neptune-type planets (hereafter called giant planets) with a solid mass M^s_{pl} ranging from 1-5 $M_\oplus$ to 500 $M_\oplus$ and a semi-major axis a_{pl} varying from 0.03 AU to 10 AU, for both irradiated and non-irradiated models. The average mass fraction of volatile molecules (relative to the total solid mass) for planets in this area is 26±11 wt% (resp. 43±17 wt%) for non-irradiated models with (resp. without) refractory organics. For irradiated models, this fraction decreases and represents about 16±13 wt% (resp. 28±23 wt%) with (resp. without) refractory organics. This leads to planets with average ice/rock mass ratios varying from 0.19±0.2 (irradiated models with refractory organics) to 0.8±0.46 (non-irradiated models without refractory organics).

In summary, we obtain for both irradiated and non-irradiated models, three types of planets: giant planets (1-5 ≤ M^s_{pl} ≤ 500 $M_\oplus$), rocky planets (M^s_{pl} ≤ 2-5 $M_\oplus$, and a_{pl} ≤ 0.01-2 AU), and icy/ocean planets (M^s_{pl} ≤ 5-10 $M_\oplus$, and a_{pl} ≥ 0.04 AU). The mass, position relative to the star, and the amount of ices in planets are mainly functions of the surface density of the disk, the formation of refractory organics, and the radiation in the disk. The full irradiation of the disk shifts the ice line positions (see paper 2) and decreases the amount of ices incorporated in planets by up to 5-15 wt% compared to non-irradiated dics. Moreover, the change of the thermodynamic conditions in the disk for irradiated models changes the pathway of planets which migrate more to the star (see Fig. 4 which shows the mass ratio of ices relative to the total solid mass of planets, models without organics, for the non-irradiated and irradiated models as a function of their initial and final semi-major axis a_{pl}) and incorporate more rocks than ices. This results in a greater number of less massive and rocky planets near the star and fewer gas giant planets in the disks. We also note that whatever the models, planets migrate mainly towards the star while only a few migrate outwards.

Figures 5 and 6 present the average mass ratio of ices, minerals, and refractory organics relative to all solid elements, and the ice/rock mass ratio (both with standard deviation ±2σ) in icy/ocean and giant planets, respectively, and for all models, taking into account the formation of clathrates and the variation of the abundance of CO in planetesimals.

4.2. Abundances of volatile molecules and atoms in planets

Composition of ices (molar fraction of species relative to all ices) The chemical composition of species in planets is described in molar ratio relative to all volatile species (sum of H_2O, CO, CO_2, CH_3OH, CH_4, H_2S, N_2, and NH_3 molecules). The presence of refractory organics does not change the relative (to H_2O) abundances of species *X* in planets. So, the following section does not take into account this parameter for the study of the composition of volatile species. As discussed in paper 2, only the abundance of CO in planetesimals ($CO:CO_2$ ratio), the formation of clathrates, and the radiation (irradiated or non-irradiated models) change the chemical composition of planetesimals, and hence of planets. Figures 7 and 8 present, respectively, the average molar ratio of species H_2O, CH_3OH, NH_3, CO_2, H_2S, CH_4, CO, and N_2 in planets as a function of final semi-major axis a_{pl} and the mass of the solid component M^s_{pl}, for irradiated (white area, right panel) or non-irradiated (dark area, left panel) models, taking into account all the chemical changes ($CO:CO_2$ variation, clathrate formation). Figure 9 compiles all the results by showing the average molar ratio (±2σ) of species *X* relative to all volatile species in icy/ocean and giant planets, and for all models tak-



Fig. 2. Mass ratio of solids M^s_{pl} (ices, rocks, and refractory organics) relative to the total planetary mass M^{all}_{pl} as a function of their final semi-major axis a_{pl} and solid mass M^s_{pl}, for non-irradiated (left panel) and irradiated (right panel) models. The light gray boxes are stars with total masses greater than 13 Jovian mass which could be brown dwarfs.



Fig. 3. Average mass ratio of volatile molecules relative to the total solid mass of planets as a function of the final semi-major axis a_{pl} and the mass of the solid component M^s_{pl}, for non-irradiated (left panel) and irradiated (right panel) models, with (upper panel) and without (lower panel) refractory organics.

ing into account the chemical variation (formation of clathrates, CO:CO_2 variation).

Rocky planets (in area β) contain only a few ices that are mainly composed of H_2O molecules (see Fig. 7), both for irradiated and non-irradiated models. So, the chemical composition of these planets is not discussed further. Planets that contain a mix of different ices are only found in the areas α and ϵ. In these areas, the average molar ratio of H_2O (the most abundant volatile molecule) relative to all ices is equal at 63±14% in icy/ocean planets and increase by about 5% in giant planets of non-irradiated models (see Fig. 9, area ϵ). The standard deviation 2σ takes into account the values of all non-irradiated models. Planets in irradiated models contain larger abundances (relative to all species) of H_2O with average values of 80±14 % for icy/ocean planets, and 89±12% for giant planets. This means that abundances of species more volatile than H_2O are depleted (relative to H_2O) in planets of irradiated models. As explained in paper 2, the irradiated models always contain larger abundances



Fig. 7. Average molar ratio of species H_2O, CH_3OH, NH_3, and CO_2 relative to all volatile species in planets as a function of the solid mass M^s_{pl} and final semi-major axis a_{pl} for non-irradiated and irradiated models, taking into account all chemical changes (CO:CO_2 variation and clathrate formation).

of H_2O relative to other species, but with continuous radial variations due to irradiation. This is due to a higher temperature in the disk which decreases the amount of highly volatile species incorporated in planetesimals as shown by figures 3 and 7 in paper 2. Note, however, that the total amount of H_2O (and other volatile species) incorporated in irradiated planets decreases compared to the one in non-irradiated models. Remember that the amount of ices incorporated in planets decreases in irradiated compared to non-irradiated models (see figures 5 and 6, and figures 8 and 11 for planetesimals in paper 2). We also note that the relative (to all volatile species) abundance of H_2O in irradiated models shows radial variations due to the slow decrease of the temperature of the disk and the corresponding slow increase in relative (to all volatile species) abundances of all the species with the distance to the star (see figures 3, 7, and 8 in paper 2).

The molar fractions of the volatile species CO_2, CH_3OH, NH_3, and H_2S show relative variations of about 10% (relative to their respecting average abundance) in icy/ocean planets of non-



Fig. 8. Same as Fig. 7 but for species H_2S, CH_4, CO, and N_2.

irradiated models (see Figures 7 and 8). Their average abundance ($\pm 2\sigma$) are roughly equal to 8-9±2% for both CO_2 and CH_3OH, and 3.2±1% and ≈1±0.2%, respectively, for NH_3 and H_2S. In irradiated models, the average abundance of these species is equal to or lower by a few percentage points compared to non-irradiated models, but with higher standard deviation. The average abundance of the species CO_2, CH_3OH, NH_3, and H_2S in icy/ocean planets are roughly equal to 5.5±7% for CO_2, 10±3% for CH_3OH, and 2.6±2% and ≈0.5±0.7% for, respectively, NH_3 and H_2S. In the giant planets, the abundances of the less volatile species shows larger depletion.

In all models, giant planets are depleted in highly volatile species such as CH_4, CO, and N_2 compared to icy/ocean planets located far from the star (≥10 AU). While the abundance of these species decrease by a few percentage points in giant planets of non-irradiated models, giant planets in irradiated disks are fully depleted (relative abundances inferior to 1%) in high volatile species such as CH_4, CO, and N_2 (see Figures 8 and 9). In icy/ocean planets (non-irradiated models), the average abundance of volatile molecules is equal to 1.7±1% and 1.2±1% for CH_4 and N_2, respectively. The CO abundance in planets (see Fig. 8) vary with the CO abundance assumed in the solar nebula



Fig. 4. Mass ratio of volatile molecules relative to the mass of solids in the planets, as a function of initial and final semi-major axis a_{pl}, for non-irradiated (upper panel) and irradiated (lower panel) models. The blue area corresponds to the ice water line position for most simulations. Gray area corresponds to the zone of stability of ices beyond the ice line. Models without refractory organics assume $CO:CO_2$ = 1:1.



Fig. 5. Average (with standard deviation 2σ) mass ratio of ices, minerals, and refractory organics in icy/ocean planets for all models, taking into account chemical variations($CO:CO_2$ variation and clathrate formation).

before the condensation/trapping of species (see paper 2). Taking into account all non-irradiated models, its average value is 13±16% in icy/ocean planets. In giant planets, CO decreases to about 9±13%. In irradiated models (see Fig. 8), planets present a lower abundance of highly volatile species with a higher standard deviation (see Fig. 9).

Figure 10 synthesizes all the results: it presents the minimum and maximum molar ratio of species *X* (except H_2O) relative to all ices in planets for all models. Table 1 summarizes the average molar fractions of species (relative to all species) for all models.

Icy/ocean planets have approximately the same (homogeneous) chemical composition as planetesimals (see paper 2), because they grow approximately at the same position as the formation of embryos (see Fig. 4), while giant planets have moved in the protoplanetary disk and accreted planetesimals everywhere in the disk. Giant planets have molecular abundances that can vary widely depending on the distance of formation of planets (inside or outside the water ice line) and their migration path in the protoplanetary disk. If these planets are mainly composed of H_2O, CO_2, CH_3OH, and CO, they show high variations of all abundance species within this region, depending of the pathway of planets in the disk. Compared to the icy/ocean planets, a lot of giant planets are depleted in highly volatile molecules such as CH_4, N_2, and CO (see Figures 8 and 9, and Table 1 for synthesis). From icy/ocean planets to giant planets, the abundance of CO and CH_4 is reduced by approximately 20-30% in non-irradiated models. The H_2O abundance increases by about 5 wt%. However, some of the giant planets are characterized by abundances of species similar to those of icy/ocean planets, such as NH_3, CH_3OH, and CO_2.

Abundances of the O, C, N , and S atoms relative to H_2 In order to compare observational chemical compositions of giant planets and exoplanets to our results, we provide the abundance of atoms O, C, N, and S (initially included in volatile species H_2O, CO, CO_2, CH_3OH, CH_4, NH_3, N_2, and H_2S) relative to H_2 in the atmospheres of planets (see Fig. 11), assuming that all volatile species are in the gaseous state of the atmosphere of planets, whatever the position and surface temperatures of planets in the disk. We assume that ices initially incorporated in planetesimals are delivered as ices onto planets, but sublimate fully at the surface of planets after or during their accretion. Figure 11 presents the molar ratio of atoms O, C, N, and S, relative to the total amount of H_2 in planets as a function of the total planetary mass M_{pl}^{all} and the M_{pl}^{s}:M_{pl}^{all} mass ratio in planets, for all models. Gray dots labeled *J* and *S* represent the abundances of atoms C, N, and S for planets Jupiter and Saturn, respectively (Wong et al. 2004; Fletcher et al. 2009). For all atoms, the X:H_2 molar ratio in the atmosphere of planets is almost always greater than that in the molecular cloud that gave birth to the planetary system (ISM value), except for some planets where it can become inferior. For giant planets, the X:H_2 molar ratio can vary by several orders of magnitude as a function of the total mass of planets,



Fig. 10. Minimum (dark area) and maximum (light area) molar ratios of species X relative to ices (the sum of H_2O, CO, CO_2, CH_3OH, CH_4, NH_3, N_2, and H_2S) in icy/ocean planets and giant planets for all models.

Table 1. Average molar ratio of species X (with standard deviation 2σ) relative to all species in planets.

Models	Non-irradiated		Irradiated	
Planets	Icy/ocean	Giant	Icy/ocean	Giant
X_{pl}/Ices* (%)				
H_2O	63 ± 14	68 ± 12	80 ± 14	88.6 ± 12
CO	13 ± 16	9 ± 13	1.7 ± 6	0.07 ± 1
CO_2	8.5 ± 2	8.2 ± 2	5.5 ± 7	1.7 ±4.4
CH_4	1.7 ± 1	1.4 ± 1.2	0.37 ± 1.2	0.03 ± 0.3
H_2S	0.8 ± 0.2		0.48 ± 0.7	0.14 ± 0.4
N_2	1.2 ± 1	0.6 ± 0.8	0.1 ± 0.4	0
NH_3	3.2 ± 0.7		2.6 ± 2.3	1.17 ± 2.3
CH_3OH	8.4 ± 2	9 ± 1.4	9.7 ± 3	8.3 ± 6.2

*Ices= H_2O + CO + CO_2 + CH_4 + H_2S + N_2 + NH_3 + CH_3OH



Fig. 6. Same as Fig. 5 but for giant planets.

i.e., the mass of gas (H_2 + He), the radiation, composition of planetesimals, and the assumption on the formation of clathrates and refractory organics in the disks. As can be seen in the figures, the fraction of gas (H_2 and He) increases (the mass ratio of solids M_{pl}^{s} relative to the mass of planets M_{pl}^{all} decreases) with the total mass of planets, a result typical of planets formed in the core-accretion model. The ratio decreases slightly with the increase of the total mass of planets, leading to ISM values of abundances of species for high masses of planets.

Our results are in good agreement with abundances of observed species C, N, and S for Jupiter and Saturn, even if our data are mostly above the observational data of the two planets. Our data were obtained assuming that all volatile species are in the gas phase and homogeneously distributed in the atmosphere of planets, leading to overestimation of the abundance that could be observed in Jovian planets and future extrasolar planets. The abundance of atoms observed in the atmospheres of Jovian planets could not represent the overall abundance in the planet: some chemical species could exist partially as solid state deeper in the interior of planets, and partially as gas state in the atmosphere of planets as a function of the temperature, pressure, and type of volatile molecules. Moreover, global circulations in the atmosphere of planets can lead to horizontal/vertical mixing and cause departures from equilibrium chemistry (Baraffe et al. 2010), which could lead to discrepancies between abundances of species in cool and hot regions of planets. Our model also allows



Fig. 9. Average molar ratio (with standard deviation 2σ) of species X relative to all species in icy/ocean and giant planets for all models, taking into account all chemical changes ($CO:CO_2$ variation and clathrate formation).

us to reproduce the enrichment of noble gas Xe, Kr, and Ar in Jupiter.

Abundances of atoms O and C, and C:O ratio in volatile species Figures 12 and 13 present the average ratio of the O (called O^{ices}) and C (called C^{ices}) atoms in volatile species relative to all atoms O (called O^{all}) and C (called C^{all}) respectively in planets, for non-irradiated and irradiated models, and with and without (only in Fig.12 for atoms O) refractory organics, taking into account all chemical variations ($CO:CO_2$ variation, and clathrate formation). For non-irradiated models, volatile species count for at least 46.3±10% (resp. 66±13%) of total atoms O, including minerals, organics, and volatile molecules in icy/ocean planets with (resp. without) refractory organics. They also include at least 29±10% (resp. 100±6%) of total atoms C for models with (resp. without) refractory organics. For giant planets, the average abundance of atoms is slighly lower by about 5-7% with 41.5±14% (resp. 60±18%) for oxygen, and 22.7±12% (resp. 100±12%) for carbon in models with (resp. without) refractory organics. Planets without refractory organics show higher abundances of O and C in ices. This means that observations of volatile species in planets poor in refractory organics show abundances of atoms in good agreement with that of the full planet. For irradiated models, the abundances of atoms O and C show lower values with radial variations (see Table 2 and Fig. 12).

One of the most important atomic ratios for the chemistry and mineralogy (see paper 1) of planets is the C/O ratio since it controls the distribution of carbon species. To characterize the depletion or enrichment of the C:O ratio in planets compared to the star, in Fig. 14 we plot the C:O ratio in the atmosphere (the main observable that we have on these objects) of planets



Fig. 11. Molar ratio of atoms O, C, N, and S relative to the total mass of gas H_2 in planets as a function of the total mass M_{pl}^{all} of planets and mass ratio of solids M_{pl}^{s} relative to total planetary mass M_{pl}^{all}, for all models. Horizontal gray lines represent abundances of atoms adopted in the ISM. Gray dots J and S represent, respectively, the abundances for Jupiter and Saturn. Data for Jupiter and Saturn provided by Wong et al. (2004), Flasar et al. (2005), Orton et al. (2005), and Briggs & Sackett (1989). The light gray area on the right corresponds to the zone where the mass of planets is higher than 13 Jovian masses and where there could be more brown dwarfs than giant planets.



Fig. 12. Average ratio of atoms O^{ices} in volatile molecules of planets relative to atoms O^{all} in all solid components as a function of the solid mass M^s_{pl} of planets and final semi-major axis a_{pl}, for non-irradiated (left panel) and irradiated (right panel) models, both with (upper panel) and without (lower panel) refractory organics, taking into account all chemical variations ($CO:CO_2$ variation and clathrate formation).

(considering only ices, and assuming that all ices are in the gas phase) and the total C:O ratio of planets (considering ices, minerals, and refractory organics). Figure 14 presents the average C:O molar ratio in volatile molecules (right scale) and its deviation relative to the ISM value[3] (molar ratio $\frac{(C/O)^{ices}-0.5}{0.5}$, left scale) as a function of the final semi-major axis a_{pl} and the mass of solids M^s_{pl} in planets, for non-irradiated (upper panel) and irradiated (lower panel) models, taking into account all chemical variations ($CO:CO_2$ variation and clathrate formation). The C:O molar ratio in volatile molecules reaches 0.31±0.14 for icy/ocean planets with a deviation of -40∓30% relative to the ISM value[3] in non-irradiated models. For giant planets, the C:O ratio is slightly lower (0.27±0.12) with a deviation of -40∓20%. In irradiated models, all planets present lower values of the C:O molar ratio (values divided by about 2 compared to non-irradiated models), and with radial variations from 0 near the star to 0.17±0.11 (deviation of -60∓10%) far. This means that the C:O ratio in volatile species that could be observed in the atmosphere of planets (and assuming that all volatile species are in the gas phase) range from deviations of -40±30% to 0% (the stellar value[3]).

Figure 15 presents the average C:O molar ratio in all elements (ices, minerals, refractory organics) of planets (right scale) and its deviation (molar ratio $\frac{(C/O)^{all}-0.5}{0.5}$, left scale) relative to the ISM value[3] as a function of the final semi-major axis a_{pl} and the mass of solids M^s_{pl} in planets, for non-irradiated (left panel) and irradiated (right panel) models, with (upper panel) and without (lower panel) refractory organics, and taking into account all chemical variations ($CO:CO_2$ variation and clathrate formation). Icy/ocean and giant planets with refractory organics show C:O molar ratio roughly equal to 0.5±0.1 with a deviation of ±10% relative to the stellar value. Planets without refractory organics in non-irradiated models present lower C:O values at 0.21±0.09 (deviation of -60∓20%) for icy/ocean planets and 0.16±0.085 (deviation of -70∓20%) for giants. Planets in irradiated disks present values of the C/O that are approximately 2 and 3 times lower for icy/ocean and giant planets, respectively. If planets contain a large total amount of refractory organics, the C:O ratio should be of the same order of magnitude as the stellar value. Otherwise, the value should decrease by several tens of percent compared to the stellar value. We note that several rocky planets with refractory organics show high values of the C:O ratio, up to 3. This value corresponds to the C:O ratio in refractory organics. Without these refractory organics, the ratio decreases to 0 in rocky planets. Table 2 summarizes the average abundances (with standard deviation) of atoms O, C, and molar ratio C/O (in ices and all components) for all models, taking into account all chemical variations (formation of clathrates and $CO:CO_2$ variation).

5. Types of planets formed and the boundary for the models used

We now discuss the type of planets obtained, their possible physical evolution, and assumptions made in this study.

[3] The C:O molar ratio in the early stellar system (solar system composition) is 0.5 (Lodders, 2003).



Fig. 15. Same as Fig. 14 but for the average molar ratio C:O in all solid components of planets.

Table 2. Average molar abundance (with standard deviation) of atoms *X* in planets.

Models	Non-irradiated				Irradiated			
Planets	Icy/ocean		Giant		Icy/ocean		Giant	
Ref. organics	with	without	with	without	with	without	with	without
O^{ices}/O^{all*} (%)	46.3±10	66±13	41.5±14	60±18	38.5±12.7	59±14	29±20	45±30
C^{ices}/C^{all*} (%)	29 ±10	100±6	22.7±12	100±12	14.4±11.6	98±26	7±9	95±42
C/O** (ices)	0.31±0.14		0.27±0.12		0.17±0.11		0.10±0.09	
C/O** (all)	0.49±0.08	0.21±0.09	≈0.50±0.11	0.16±0.085	0.47±0.054	0.10±0.08	0.50±0.12	0.051±0.06

*Average molar ratio of atoms X in ices relative to total atoms *X* in planets (X_p^{ices}/X_p^{all})
**The molar stellar value of C/O in our study is 0.5.

5.1. Earth-like to super-Earth planets

Planets with mass of solid components M_{pl}^s varying from 0.01 $M_\oplus$ (dwarf planets) to about 10 $M_\oplus$ (usually designated super-Earth) are not massive enough to accrete a large gaseous envelope onto the central core (H_2 + He). These planet do not have a primitive gaseous atmosphere (H_2 + He) or the gaseous envelope of H_2 + He accreted by these planets corresponds to less than 10% of the mass of solid components M_{pl}^s.

Earth-like planets The rocky planets formed near stars ($a_{pl} \leq$ 0.4-2 AU) are mainly dry objects. However, these objects are not completely water-free. For the Earth, H_2O represents about 0.02% of the core (Bell 2010). Despite its small relative abundance, water has dramatic effects on our planet's geology, geochemistry, climate, and biology and has important implications for planetary evolution and appearance of life (Bell 2010). Most rocky planets formed in the simulation have final semi-major axes below 0.4-2 AU, but there are some rocky planets beyond 2 AU. After migration, some rocky planets are within the habitable zone, typically in the range 95-135% of the midline, based mostly on analogy with our own solar system (Bell 2010). Post-formation degassing, comet accretion, or oxidization processes can only produce a tenuous gaseous atmosphere, with no significant consequences for the planet's contraction (Baraffe et al. 2010).

Icy/ocean planets Icy/ocean planets with mass of solid components M_{pl}^s below about 5-10 $M_\oplus$ are located beyond 0.04-2 AU. Some icy/ocean planets are within the inner and outer boundaries part of the habitable zone. These planets are composed of at least 23±10 wt% of volatile molecules such as H_2O, CO_2, CO, CH_3OH, and NH_3, and have an ice/rock mass ratio varying from about 0.3±0.16 to 1.01±0.33. Such values match those of some icy satelites and KBOs in the solar system: densities of Jovian and Saturn icy moons Ganymede, Callisto, and Titan, and Kuiper Belt objects Triton, Eris, and the Pluto-Charon binary (≈ 1.8-2.3 g.cm^{-3}) suggest that rock and ices have comparable masses (Showman & Malhotra 1999; Buie et al. 2006; Person et al. 2006; Brown & Schaller 2007; McKinnon et al. 2008;



Fig. 13. Same as in Fig. 12 but for C atoms and with refractory organics only.



Fig. 14. Average molar ratio C:O in volatile species as a function of the solid mass M^s_{pl} of planets and final semi-major axis a_{pl}. Non-irradiated (upper panel) and irradiated (lower panel) models taking into account all chemical variations (CO:CO_2 variation and clathrate formation).

Lunine et al. 2010; Sotin et al. 2010). Far away from the star where the temperature is low, planets are mainly icy with probably a tenuous atmosphere of highly volatile molecules such as CO and/or N_2 such as dwarf planets Charon and Pluto in the solar system or liquid or frozen planets such as Europa (one of Jupiter's moons) where tidal heating from Jupiter provides the energy source that would prevent a subsurface ocean from freezing solid (Bell 2010).

Near the star, where the temperature can be higher following the luminosity of the star, only the post-formation sublimation or liquefaction of ices due to temperatures of planetary surfaces can produce a gaseous atmosphere whose composition should be a function of the temperature of sublimation of volatile molecules and their escaping velocity in the planetary atmosphere. Such ocean planets present a large variation of abundances of volatile species probably due to the different origins of their formation and the pathways taken in the disk during their migration: H_2O can be the major volatile component or planets can include all volatile species in the same proportions as icy planets located far away from the star. We also note that warm temperatures could lead to chemical changes modifying the composition of the atmosphere. Such close-in and volatile rich super-Earths have already been observed such as GJ 1214b (6.55±0.98 $M_\oplus$, Miller-Ricci Kempton et al. 2012) and HD 97658b (8.2±1.2 $M_\oplus$, Dragomir et al. 2013). Note, however, that these planets orbit stars with lower masses and lower luminosities. Rogers & Seager (2010) found that GJ 1214b, a planet orbiting a star of mass equal to 0.157$M_\odot$ (Charbonneau et al. 2009) and a luminosity equal to 0.3% the Sun's, must be a water-rich planet composed of at least 60% water by mass with a thick steam atmosphere to reproduce the planet's observed radius (2.68±0.13 $R_\oplus$, Charbonneau et al. 2009). However, an atmosphere composed of a combination of water vapor and hydrogen gas is also consistent with the planet's observed mass and radius, and these would require a smaller fraction of water to reproduce the planet's bulk density (Kempton et al. 2012). By examining all possible mixtures of water and H/He, Valencia et al. (2013) found that the bulk amount of H/He in GJ 1214b is at most 7% by mass. Interestingly, our models, applied to sun mass and sun luminosity, show that some planets below 0.1 AU can have water mass ratio ranging from 10% to 50%. Applying our models to stars of lower mass and lower luminosity with different abundances of atoms could match the composition and position of planets observed more accurately.

5.2. Super-Earth to giant planets

Planets with mass of solid components M^s_{pl} higher than 5-10 $M_\oplus$ (usually denominated as super-Earth) which are massive enough to accrete a large gaseous envelope onto the central core (H_2 + He) are labeled Neptune-like or gas giant planets. The gaseous envelope of H_2 + He accreted by these planets correspond to values much higher than 10% of the mass of solid components M^s_{pl}.

Super-Earth planets to Neptune-like planets Planets with mass of solid components M^s_{pl} varying from 5 $M_\oplus$ to about 20

$M_\oplus$ usually denominated as super-Earth down to Earth-like planets, are not massive enough to lead to rapid accretion (runaway regime) of a large gaseous envelope onto the central core. However, terrestrial planets can accrete a gaseous envelope of H_2 + He corresponding to up to 100 wt% of the mass of solid components M^s_{pl}, leading to planets composed of 50 wt% of solids (ices, minerals, refractory organics) and 50 wt% of gas H_2 + He. This leads to formation of Neptune- and Uranus-like planets. For these planets, the gaseous envelope essentially governs the gravothermal evolution of the planet (Bell 2010). However, differentiation, outgassing, and additional late heavy bombardment of icy planetesimals and subsequent accretion of chemical species could result in the enrichment of volatile molecules in their atmospheres over time, while H_2 could slowly be lost because of escape velocity and solar wind breakdown. This could then lead over time to the enrichment of the abundances of volatile molecules (relative to H_2) in the gaseous atmosphere of these planets. The abundance of species, i.e., the chemical reactions, in the gas envelope (dominated by H_2 and He) of these planets is temperature-pressure dependant and could change significantly with close-in planets ($\leq$ 0.1 AU) which could be affected by high temperatures. Close-in Neptune-like planets, produced in our model, and called as hot Neptunes, have already been observed, such as the planets GJ 436b (23 $M_\oplus$, Agúndez et al. 2014) and HAT-P-11b (23 $M_\oplus$, Lecavelier des Etangs et al. 2013). Note however that these planets orbit stars with significantly lower masses and luminosities, and different atom abundances. GJ 436b, a planet orbiting a star of mass equal to $0.41 M_\odot$ and a luminosity equal to 2.5% the Sun's (Butler et al. 2004), at about 0.03 AU, has a planetary effective temperature of about 700-800 K (Deming et al. 2007; Demory et al. 2007; Stevenson et al. 2010) and an atmosphere rich in CO, but poor in CH_4 and H_2O (Stevenson et al. 2010; Madhusudhan & Seager 2011; and Moses et al. 2013). Our model does not predict such a composition of planets, but chemical processes at high temperature[4] that change the abundances of volatile molecules are not taken into account in this study since we produce only the original bulk composition derived from planetesimals.

Neptune-like to super-Jupiter planets Gas giant planets with mass of solids varying from 10-20 $M_\oplus$ to 500 $M_\oplus$ have a primitive gaseous atmosphere (H_2 + He), with mass ratio of solid components M^s_{pl} relative to the total mass M^{all}_{pl} of planets ranging from 60 wt% to values lower than 10 wt%. This leads to planets with ice/rock mass ratios varying from roughly 0.1 to 1.5. Our results for the ice/rock mass ratio in gas giant planets are slightly lower than those assumed in theoretical studies of giant planet formation as explained in paper 2. When gas water molecules condense, the solid surface density Σ of the disk is assumed to increase by a factor of 3-4 (Lecar et al. 2006; Encrenaz 2008). This assumption helps gas giant planets to form rapidly before the quick dissipation of the gas in the disk on a timescale of a few million years due to accretion onto the central protostar and evaporation from the protostar's irradiation. However, our results show that the ice/rock mass ratio in planetesimals (paper 2) and planets is on the order of magnitude 1±0.5, following the different assumptions on the thermodynamic conditions of formation of ices in the disks (see paper 2), leading to an increase in only the solid components by approximately 1.5-2.5 beyond the ice line, which is a factor lower by 2±0.5 than our best value compared to the one indicated by papers of planet formation (Hayashi 1981; Stevenson & Lunine 1988; Encrenaz 2008). This discrepancy with our results can be explained mainly by the use by previous models (Hayashi 1981) of solar atomic abundances of Cameron (1970) that are higher by 50 - 90 % for atoms C, O, and N compared to Lodders's (2003) abundances taken into account in this study. Moreover, Hayashi (1981) made some assumptions on the volatile species, taking into account only H_2O, CH_4, and NH_3, all condensing together at 170 K (see paper 2).

Theoretical models of Jupiter's interior predict that the total mass of heavy elements ranges from 20 $M_\oplus$ to 40 $M_\oplus$ (Saumon & Guillot 2004; Nettelmann et al. 2008; Militzer et al. 2008, Helled & Schubert 2009), leading to M^s_{pl}:M^{all}_{pl} mass ratio ranging from 6% to 13% for this planet, in good agreement with results of the model of Alibert et al. (2013). However, our results on the ice/rock mass ratio are slightly different from those of the internal structure models of Uranus and Neptune (Podolak et al. 1995). Three-layer models with a central rocky core, an ice layer, and an outer H/He envelope suggest an overall composition of 25 wt% of rocks, 60-70 wt% of ices, and 5-15 wt% of gaseous H/He (Podolak et al. 1991, Baraffe et al. 2010). Other solutions exist, as suggested by Podolak et al. (1995), assuming not a pure ice second layer, but a mixture of ices, rock (minerals + refractory organics), and gas. However, the ice/rock mass ratio remains at about 2.5 for both planets Uranus and Neptune in these models, $\approx$ 1.5 times higher that our best results. In the solar system, the largest reservoirs of water should be Jupiter and Saturn which represent 91% of the planetary mass in our solar system (Fortney & Nettelmann 2010), and Uranus and Neptune (7% of the planetary mass in our solar system). However, there are no data available on the abundance of tropospheric or deeper ices (mainly H_2O) on these planets. The bulk compositions of these planets are not well constrained (Podolak et al. 1995; Marley et al. 1995; Helled et al. 2011; Podolak & Helled 2012). In fact, it is still unclear what the mass fraction of ices is in Uranus and Neptune, despite their categorization as icy planets (Podolak & Helled 2012).

Measurements by the Galileo probe mass spectrometer suggest that the atmosphere of Jupiter is enriched in heavy elements by a factor of up to 3 compared with the Sun (Young 2003, Helled & Schubert 2009). Our results suggest that the abundances of atoms (relative to H_2) in giant planets are almost higher that the stellar values (up to 3 orders of magnitude for low mass planets), but decrease with an increase in the total mass of planets, leading to stellar values for large planet masses which means there could be more brown dwarfs than giant planets for total masses higher than 13 Jupiter masses. The abundances of species (relative to H_2) in the atmosphere of giant planets determined in this work are upper limits. First, we assumed that all volatile species incorporated as ices in planets were in the gaseous state of the atmosphere of planets although a part could be in the solid state, deeper in the planets, as a function of the temperature, pressure, and type of volatile molecules. Second, the heating of the atmosphere of planets (after migration toward the star) near the star could trigger slow chemical reactions such as described in Eqs. 1, 2, and 3 in paper 2, leading to the enrichment or depletion of species in the atmosphere of planets. Moreover, global circulations of the atmosphere of planets can lead to discrepancies of abundances of species between cool and hot regions of the atmosphere.

The model of planet formation used in this work (Alibert et al. 2013) assumes small planetesimals that keep the same radius (about 100 m). As shown in Fortier et al. (2013), the formation

[4] such as described by equations 1, 2, and 3 in the atmosphere of gas giant planets, see paper 2

of giant planets is favored by the accretion of small planetesimals which do not evolve with time and leads to the formation of massive planets with masses higher than 500 $M_\oplus$. Increasing the radius of planetesimals reduces severely the accretion rate and the growth of planets (see Alibert et al. 2013; Fortier et al. 2013). Assuming a size distribution for planetesimals and a radius evolution of planetesimals (increase due to accretion of smaller objects, and decrease due to the sublimation of ices when shifted toward the star) could change the mass of planets formed and presented in this study. Such considerations will be the subject of future works.

It is important to remember that the chemical compositions of planets given in this study do not take into account loss of material due to collisional heating and breaking of bodies; size of bodies and temperature of sublimation of volatile molecules (evaporation process, escape velocity of volatile molecules); tidal and radioactive heating and geysers as observed in the satellites Enceladus and Europa; and chemical processes [5] changing the abundances of volatile molecules. In addition, this study does not consider the radial migration of grains and small planetesimals ($\leq$ 1 km) in the disk due to the effect of gas drag and gravitational torques. We computed the chemical composition of ices in planetesimals and planets by using the thermodynamic conditions derived from an α disk both with and without irradiation, and for solar conditions (mass, luminosity, and composition of atoms). The irradiated and non-irradiated models used in this study do not properly model the physics in the disks. However, they allow us to frame the thermodynamic conditions of ice formation in the cooling disk and migration of planets, respectively, near and far away from the star. So, the abundance of volatile molecules of planets calculated in this work constitute an upper (resp. lower) limit for non-irradiated (resp. irradiated) models in solar conditions. The gas phase incorporated by giant planets and assumed to be composed only of H_2 and He, should be composed of other volatile species as suggested by Oberg et al. (2011). Calculating the gas composition of volatile molecules incorporated in giant planets could change slightly the chemical gas composition of these planets supposed to be derived directly from ice species in this study (see Fig. 11) and change their carbon to oxygen ratio. We currently extend our work in this direction. Finally, the chemical composition of planets and icy satellites are derived from average values of abundances of species observed in the ISM. Abundances of species can change up to several tens of percentage points for some molecules such as CO_2, CH_3OH, and NH_3 (see paper 2). A system with lower extremes or larger abundances of species compared to average values taken in this study could change the chemical composition of planets given in this paper.

In order to better constrain the chemical composition of planets, future work will focus on the nonsolar composition of atoms (C/O variation), different masses and luminosities of star, and will include the radial migration of grains and planetesimals in the disk. The computation of radii of planets in a self-consistent way using these results will also be done in future work.

6. Summary

In this work, we have computed the composition of volatile molecules incorporated in planets after migration in the disk, using 16 different physico-chemical conditions. To do this, we proceeded in two steps. In the first paper (see paper 2), we used models of disk accretion and ice formation to calculate the abundance of ices incorporated in planetesimals for different initial physico-chemical conditions, varying the abundance of CO in planetesimals, i.e., the disk, taking into account, or not, the presence of refractory organics, clathrates, star radiation, and mass of the disk. In this paper, starting from the chemical composition calculated in the disk for different surface densities, we used the model of planet formation and migration of Alibert et al. (2013) to determine a wide variety of chemical composition of planets listed in three groups:

- Giant planets with mass of solids 5-10 $M_\oplus \leq M^s_{pl} \leq 500\ M_\oplus$.
- Icy/ocean planets with mass of solids $M^s_{pl} \leq$ 5-10 $M_\oplus$.
- Rocky planets with mass of solids $M^s_{pl} \leq$ 5-10 $M_\oplus$, and mainly located inside 2 AU.

The main results regarding the chemical composition of these planets are described below.

1. Icy/ocean planets do not migrate much and retain approximately the same chemical composition as planetesimals beyond the ice lines.
2. Giant planets show high variations of abundances of all volatile species and some of them are depleted in ices relative to icy/ocean planets. Many giant planets in non-irradiated models have lower abundances of highly volatile species such as CH_4, CO, and N_2, and most of them in irradiated models are depleted in these species compared to icy/ocean planets.
3. Ice/rock and Ice/all elements ratio:
   - The mass fraction of ices varies from 23±10 to 50±12wt% in icy/ocean planets. This value decreases to less than 0.01 wt% in rocky planets and can reach up to 43±17% in giant planets.
   - The ice/rock mass ratio in planets varies from 0 (rocky planets) to 1.5 (icy/ocean and gas giant planets).
4. Chemical composition of planets:
   - The dominant chemical species in ices remains H_2O (at least 63±14% in mol relative to all ices) whatever the type of planets formed and assumptions on the disk, the chemical composition, and evolution.
   - The three dominant molecules after H_2O are carbon-bearing species such as CO, CO_2, and CH_3OH.
   - The dominant nitrogen-bearing species remains NH_3.
5. Atomic composition of planets:
   - The abundance of atoms O, C, N, and S (relative to H_2) in the atmosphere of gas giant planets is almost greater than the stellar values for most planets, but decreases slightly with the increase of the total mass of planets.
   - Our results on the abundance of atoms C, N, and S (relative to H_2) are in good agreement with the abundances observed for Jupiter and Saturn.
   - Taking into account all physico-chemical variations, the C:O molar ratio in volatile molecules reaches up to 0.31±0.14 for icy/ocean planets, and 0.27±0.12 for gas giant planets, about 40 - 50% of the stellar value.
   - The total C:O molar ratio in planets (considering ices, minerals, and refractory organics) reaches up to 0.5±0.1 considering refractory organics, and 0.21±0.09 without, about 0 - 60% of the stellar value.

Acknowledgements. This work has been supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation, the Center for Space and Habitability of the University of Bern and the European Research Council under grant 239605.

[5] such as described by equations 1, 2, and 3 in the atmosphere of gas giant planets, see paper 2

References

Agúndez, M., Venot, O., Selsis, F., & Iro, N. 2014, ApJ, 781, 68
Alibert, Y., Carron, F., Fortier, A., et al. 2013, A&A, submitted
Alibert, Y., Mordasini, C., Benz, W., & Winisdoerffer, C. 2005, A&A, 434, 343
Baraffe, I., Chabrier, G., & Barman, T. 2010, Reports on Progress in Physics, 73, 016901
Barclay, T., Rowe, J. F., Lissauer, J. J., et al. 2013, Nature, 494, 452
Barman, T. S. 2008, ApJ, 676, L61
Barman, T. 2007, ApJ, 661, L191
Beaulieu, J. P., Kipping, D. M., Batista, V., et al. 2010, MNRAS, 409, 963
Beaulieu, J. P., Carey, S., Ribas, I., & Tinetti, G. 2008, ApJ, 677, 1343
Bell, J. F. 2010, Highlights of Astronomy, 15, 29
Bockelée-Morvan, D., Crovisier, J., Mumma, M. J., & Weaver, H. A. 2004, Comets II, 391
Bond, J. C., O'Brien, D. P., & Lauretta, D. S. 2010, ApJ, 715, 1050
Brown, M. E., & Schaller, E. L. 2007, Science, 316, 1585
Buie, M. W., Grundy, W. M., Young, E. F., Young, L. A., & Stern, S. A. 2006, AJ, 132, 290
Burrows, A., Budaj, J., & Hubeny, I. 2008, ApJ, 678, 1436
Burrows, A., Hubeny, I., Budaj, J., Knutson, H. A., & Charbonneau, D. 2007, ApJ, 668, L171
Burrows, A., Hubeny, I., & Sudarsky, D. 2005, ApJ, 625, L135
Butler, R. P., Vogt, S. S., Marcy, G. W., et al. 2004, ApJ, 617, 580
Charbonneau, D., Berta, Z. K., Irwin, J., et al. 2009, Nature, 462, 891
Charbonneau, D., Knutson, H. A., Barman, T., et al. 2008, ApJ, 686, 1341
Charbonneau, D., Allen, L. E., Megeath, S. T., et al. 2005, ApJ, 626, 523
Charbonneau, D., Brown, T. M., Noyes, R. W., & Gilliland, R. L. 2002, ApJ, 568, 377
Deming, D., Harrington, J., Laughlin, G., et al. 2007, ApJ, 667, L199
Demory, B.-O., Gillon, M., Barman, T., et al. 2007, A&A, 475, 1125
Désert, J.-M., Lecavelier des Etangs, A., Hébrard, G., et al. 2009, ApJ, 699, 478
Doty, S. D., van Dishoeck, E. F., van der Tak, F. F. S., & Boonman, A. M. S. 2002, A&A, 389, 446
Dragomir, D., Matthews, J. M., Eastman, J. D., et al. 2013, ApJ, 772, L2
Encrenaz, T. 2008, ARA&A, 46, 57
Fletcher, L. N., Orton, G. S., Teanby, N. A., Irwin, P. G. J., & Bjoraker, G. L. 2009, Icarus, 199, 351
Fortier, A., Alibert, Y., Carron, F., Benz, W., & Dittkrist, K.-M. 2013, A&A, 549, A44
Fortney, J. J., & Nettelmann, N. 2010, Space Sci. Rev., 152, 423
Fortney, J. J., & Marley, M. S. 2007, ApJ, 666, L45
Gautier, D., Hersant, F., Mousis, O., & Lunine, J. I. 2001a, ApJ, 550, L227
Gautier, D., Hersant, F., Mousis, O., & Lunine, J. I. 2001b, ApJ, 559, L183
Griffith, C. A., Tinetti, G., Swain, M. R., et al. 2011, EPSC-DPS Joint Meeting 2011, 140
Grillmair, C. J., Burrows, A., Charbonneau, D., et al. 2008, Nature, 456, 767
Hayashi, C. 1981, Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement, 70, 35
Helled, R., Anderson, J. D., Podolak, M., & Schubert, G. 2011, ApJ, 726, 15
Helled, R., & Schubert, G. 2009, ApJ, 697, 1256
Hersant, F., Gautier, D., & Lunine, J. I. 2004, Planet. Space Sci., 52, 623
Johnson, T. V., Mousis, O., Lunine, J. I., & Madhusudhan, N. 2012, ApJ, 757, 192
Lecar, M., Podolak, M., Sasselov, D., & Chiang, E. 2006, ApJ, 640, 1115
Lecavelier des Etangs, A., Sirothia, S. K., Gopal-Krishna, & Zarka, P. 2013, A&A, 552, A65
Lee, J.-M., Fletcher, L. N., & Irwin, P. G. J. 2012, MNRAS, 420, 170
Lodders, K. 2003, ApJ, 591, 1220
Lunine, J. I. 2011, Mem. Soc. Astron. Italiana, 82, 368
Lunine, J., Choukroun, M., Stevenson, D., & Tobie, G. 2010, Titan from Cassini-Huygens, 35
Madhusudhan, N. 2012, ApJ, 758, 36
Madhusudhan, N., Harrington, J., Stevenson, K. B., et al. 2011, Nature, 469, 64
Madhusudhan, N., & Seager, S. 2011, ApJ, 729, 41
Madhusudhan, N., & Seager, S. 2010, EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts, 12, 14364
Madhusudhan, N., & Seager, S. 2009, ApJ, 707, 24
Marboeuf, U., Thiabaud, A., Alibert A., Cabral, N., & Benz, W. 2014, A&A, submitted
Marboeuf, U., Mousis, O., Ehrenreich, D., Alibert, Y., Cassan, A., Wakelam, V., & Beaulieu, J.-P. 2008, ApJ, 681, 1624
Marley, M. S., Gómez, P., & Podolak, M. 1995, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 23349
McKinnon, W. B., Prialnik, D., Stern, S. A., & Coradini, A. 2008, The Solar System Beyond Neptune, 213
Militzer, B., Hubbard, W. B., Vorberger, J., Tamblyn, I., & Bonev, S. A. 2008, ApJ, 688, L45
Miller-Ricci Kempton, E., Zahnle, K., & Fortney, J. J. 2012, ApJ, 745, 3
Mordasini, C., Alibert, Y., Klahr, H., & Henning, T. 2012a, A&A, 547, A111
Mordasini, C., Alibert, Y., Georgy, C., et al. 2012b, A&A, 547, A112
Mordasini, C., Alibert, Y., & Benz, W. 2009, A&A, 501, 1139
Moses, J. I., Madhusudhan, N., Visscher, C., & Freedman, R. S. 2013, ApJ, 763, 25
Mousis, O., Lunine, J. I., Picaud, S., & Cordier, D. 2010, Faraday Discussions, 147, 509
Mumma, M. J., & Charnley, S. B. 2011, ARA&A, 49, 471
Nettelmann, N., Holst, B., Kietzmann, A., et al. 2008, ApJ, 683, 1217
Nomura, H., & Millar, T. J. 2004, A&A, 414, 409
Öberg, K. I., Murray-Clay, R., & Bergin, E. A. 2011, ApJ, 743, L16
Person, M. J., Elliot, J. L., Gulbis, A. A. S., et al. 2006, AJ, 132, 1575
Podolak, M., & Helled, R. 2012, ApJ, 759, L32
Podolak, M., Weizman, A., & Marley, M. 1995, Planet. Space Sci., 43, 1517
Podolak, M., Hubbard, W. B., & Stevenson, D. J. 1991, Uranus, 29
Rogers, L. A., & Seager, S. 2010, ApJ, 716, 1208
Saumon, D., & Guillot, T. 2004, ApJ, 609, 1170
Showman, A. P., & Malhotra, R. 1999, Science, 296, 77
Snellen, I. A. G., Albrecht, S., de Mooij, E. J. W., & Le Poole, R. S. 2008, A&A, 487, 357
Sotin, C., Mitri, G., Rappaport, N., Schubert, G., & Stevenson, D. 2010, Titan from Cassini-Huygens, 61
Stevenson, K. B., Harrington, J., Nymeyer, S., et al. 2010, Nature, 464, 1161
Stevenson, D. J., & Lunine, J. I. 1988, Icarus, 75, 146
Swain, M. R., Tinetti, G., Vasisht, G., et al. 2009a, ApJ, 704, 1616
Swain, M. R., Vasisht, G., Tinetti, G., et al. 2009b, ApJ, 690, L114
Swain, M. R., Vasisht, G., & Tinetti, G. 2008, Nature, 452, 329
Thiabaud, A., Marboeuf, U., Alibert, Y., et al. 2014, A&A, 562, A27
Tinetti, G., Beaulieu, J. P., Henning, T., et al. 2012, Experimental Astronomy, 34, 311
Tinetti, G., & Griffith, C. A. 2010, Pathways Towards Habitable Planets, 430, 115
Tinetti, G., Vidal-Madjar, A., Liang, M.-C., et al. 2007, Nature, 448, 169
Udry, S., & Santos, N. C. 2007, ARA&A, 45, 397
Valencia, D., Guillot, T., Parmentier, V., & Freedman, R. S. 2013, ApJ, 775, 10
Visser, R., Doty, S. D., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2011, A&A, 534, A132
Waldmann, I. P., Tinetti, G., Drossart, P., et al. 2012, ApJ, 744, 35
Wong, M. H., Mahaffy, P. R., Atreya, S. K., Niemann, H. B., & Owen, T. C. 2004, Icarus, 171, 153
Young, R. E. 2003, New A Rev., 47, 1